

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,834	02/02/2001		Wallace D. Sanger	1151		
;	7590	10/08/2002				
FRANK L. K			EXAMINER			
13261 S.W., 54th Court Miramar, FL 33027				HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		÷		3635		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/775,834**

Applicant(s)

WALLACE D. SANGER

Examiner

YVONNE M. HORTON

Art Unit **3635**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with th	he correspondence address			
Period for F	• •						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
 Extensions of mailing date 	of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a	no event, however, ma	ay a reply be	timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
 If the period If NO period Failure to rep Any reply re 	If for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the later term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) Notes to be application to become	MONTHS from ne ABANDON	m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢 Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 2, 200</u>	01		<u> </u>			
2a) 🗌 Thi	is action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	ion is non-final.		,			
3) Sin	nce this application is in condition for allowance e used in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex par</i>	except for forma rte Quayle, 193	al matters 35 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims			,			
4) 💢 Cla	aim(s) <u>1-17</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4a) (Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢 Cla	aim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-17</u>			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Cla	sim(s) <u>12 and 13</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 Cla	iim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌 Cla	ims	are	subject t	o restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application				l de la companya de			
9) 🗌 The	e specification is objected to by the Examiner.			ı			
10) 💢 The	e drawing(s) filed on <u>Feb 2, 2001</u> is/are	a) accepted	Jorb)⊠	objected to by the Examiner.			
Αŗ	pplicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held	d in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗆 The	e proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 ap	proved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
lf:	approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office acti	ion.				
12) The	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.		l			
	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			l			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗌 🛚 A	All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:			l			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).				
	he attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
	knowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
	he translation of the foreign language provisional						
TS/⊑□ ACI Attachment(s	knowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.			
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	41 Interview Sum	man (PTO-4	113) Paper No(s)			
, ,	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Application (PTO-152)			
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		*			

Application/Control Number: 09/775,834

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for

examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they

do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: There is no crane

hook (H) or no lifter receiving tube (34) in the drawings. A proposed drawing correction or

corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the

application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they

include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: there is no description

for element number (82). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to

the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office

action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in

abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3635

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

 Patent #6,058,672 to McCLELLAN in view of US Patent #Re 31,131 to TORBET et al.

 McCLELLAN discloses the method of reinforcing concrete building including a roof (24), a

 floor (19) and at least one wall (32) connecting the roof (24) and floor (19) including the steps of
 securing an upper and lower securing link (3), respectively, to the roof (24) and floor (19),
 securing a linking member (2) and placing the linking member in tension. McCLELLAN

 discloses the basic claimed method of reinforcing concrete building except for the use of a lifter.

 Lifters are old and very well known in the art of prefabricated structures. TORBET et al. teaches
 that it is known in the art to provide a concrete structure with a lifter. Hence, it would have been
 obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the structure of McCLELLAN with the

Application/Control Number: 09/775,834

Art Unit: 3635

lifter of TORBET et al. in order to facilitate movement and placement of the structure in place.

Page 4

Regarding claim 13, the lifter of TORBET et al. Has a hook (76) engaged by a crane.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-11 and 14-17 are allowed.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the

prior art of record fails to teach the use of concrete buildings including linking members

removably connected to upper and lower link connections. The majority of the prior art, with

concrete structures, have the linking member embedded within the concrete thereby rendering the

linking member immovable..

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909.

YMH

Primary Examiner

September 30, 2002